

RAMSEY NUMBERS WITH PRESCRIBED RATE OF GROWTH

MATÍAS PAVEZ-SIGNÉ, SIMÓN PIGA, AND NICOLÁS SANHUEZA-MATAMALA

ABSTRACT. Let $R(G)$ be the 2-colour Ramsey number of a graph G . In this note, we prove that for any non-decreasing function $n \leq f(n) \leq R(K_n)$, there exists a sequence of connected graphs $(G_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$, with $|V(G_n)| = n$ for all $n \geq 1$, such that $R(G_n) = \Theta(f(n))$. In contrast, we also show that an analogous statement does not hold for hypergraphs of uniformity at least 5.

We also use our techniques to answer in the affirmative a question posed by DeBiasio about the existence of sequences of graphs, but whose 2-colour Ramsey number is linear whereas their 3-colour Ramsey number has superlinear growth.

1. INTRODUCTION

For a graph G and $r \geq 2$, the r -colour Ramsey number $R_r(G)$ of G is the smallest number n such that every r -colouring of the edges of the complete graph K_n contains a monochromatic copy of G , that is, a copy of G with all its edges in the same colour. For $r = 2$, we will simply write $R_2(G) = R(G)$ and refer to this as *the* Ramsey number of G . The most notorious open problem here is to determine the Ramsey number of cliques. The classical bounds on $R(K_n)$ by Erdős [Erd47] and Erdős and Szekeres [ES35] imply that $\sqrt{2}^n \leq R(K_n) \leq 4^n$, for $n \geq 3$, so $R(K_n)$ is exponential in n , but despite tremendous efforts its exact behaviour remains unknown (see [Cam+23] for the most recent improvements).

In general, if an n -vertex graph G has m edges and no isolated vertices, then $2^{\Omega(m/n)} \leq R(G) \leq 2^{O(\sqrt{m})}$, where the lower bound follows from a probabilistic construction and the upper bound was shown by Sudakov [Sud11]. Given additional structure on G , there are many cases where we can even obtain $R(G) = O(n)$. This holds, for instance, for graphs with bounded maximum degree [Chv+83], bounded arrangeability [CS93], or bounded degeneracy [Lee17]. We recommend [CFS15] for a survey in the area.

As we have seen, the Ramsey number of an n -vertex graph can vary between linear and exponential in n . A natural question is thus to ask which values (between n and $R(K_n)$) can be attained as the Ramsey number of some n -vertex graph. The aim of this note is to study this question, and, in particular, to determine which functions $f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$, with $n \leq f(n) \leq R(K_n)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, are the rate of growth of the Ramsey numbers of some sequence of n -vertex graphs.

It is natural here to restrict our analysis to connected graphs. Note that after adding $n - r$ isolated vertices to an r -vertex graph H , we obtain an n -vertex graph H' satisfying $R(H') = \max\{n, R(H)\}$. This means that we can obtain values for the Ramsey numbers of n -vertex graphs which in essence correspond to the Ramsey numbers of r -vertex graphs; restricting to connected graphs rules out such constructions. Our first result is that every function, between the appropriate bounds, can be attained as the rate of growth of some sequence of graphs, up to a multiplicative factor.

MPS was supported by the European Research Council grant 947978 under the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme. SP was supported by EPSRC grant EP/V002279/1. NSM was partly supported by ANID-Chile through the FONDECYT Iniciación N°11220269 grant. There are no additional data beyond that contained within the main manuscript.

Theorem 1. *There exists a positive constant C such that for every function $f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$, with $n \leq f(n) \leq R(K_n)$, there exists a sequence of connected graphs $(G_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $|V(G_n)| = n$ and $f(n) \leq R(G_n) \leq Cf(n)$.*

In other words, Theorem 1 states¹ that $R(G_n) = \Theta(f(n))$, where the implicit constants do not depend on the function f . We remark that by a result of Burr and Erdős [BE76] on the Ramsey number of trees, it is known that every n -vertex connected graph G satisfies $R(G) \geq \lceil \frac{4}{3}n \rceil - 1$; thus taking the function $f(n) = \alpha n$ for any $1 \leq \alpha < 4/3$ shows that the conclusion of Theorem 1 cannot hold with $R(G_n) = (1 + o(1))f(n)$ instead.

Our second result concerns k -uniform hypergraphs. A k -graph H is a pair $H = (V, E)$ where V is the set of vertices of H and every edge $e \in E$ is a k -element subset of V . For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the k -uniform clique on n vertices $K_n^{(k)}$ is the k -graph on n vertices in which every k -element set of vertices is an edge. Given a k -graph H , the Ramsey number $R(H)$ of H is the smallest number n such that every red-blue colouring of the edges of $K_n^{(k)}$ yields a monochromatic copy of H .

We prove that an analogue of Theorem 1 fails for k -graphs if $k \geq 5$ (even without any kind of connectivity restrictions).

Theorem 2. *Let $k \geq 5$. There exists a non-decreasing function $f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ with $n \leq f(n) \leq R(K_n^{(k)})$, such that for all $c, C > 0$ and any n_0 , there is an $n > n_0$ such that*

$$R(H) \leq cf(n) \quad \text{or} \quad R(H) \geq Cf(n)$$

for every n -vertex k -graph H .

Using our techniques we can also answer a question posed by DeBiasio [DeB], who asked for the existence of a sequence $(G_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of graphs where $R_2(G_n)$ is linear whilst $R_3(G_n)$ is superlinear. Similar differences in behaviour depending on the number of colours have been observed before in infinite graphs (see [CDM20, Section 10.1]) and in 3-graphs (see [CFR17]).

Theorem 3. *There exists a sequence $(G_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of graphs such that $|V(G_n)| = n$, $R_2(G_n) = O(n)$ and $R_3(G_n) = \Omega(n \log n)$.*

Let us point out here that the graphs we construct for Theorem 3 have isolated vertices. However, if we insist on sequences of connected graphs, we can get the following.

Theorem 4. *There is a sequence $(G_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of connected graphs such that $|V(G_n)| = n$, $R_2(G_n) = O(n \log n)$ and $R_3(G_n) = \Omega(n \log^2 n)$.*

2. PROOF OF THEOREM 1

Conlon, Fox and Sudakov [CFS20, Lemma 5.5] used a result by Erdős and Szeemerédi [ES72] to prove that the Ramsey number of a dense graph cannot decrease by much under the deletion of one vertex. Recently, Wigderson [Wig22] investigated this phenomenon in sparser graphs. A graph on n vertices has density d if it has exactly $d \binom{n}{2}$ edges.

Lemma 5 ([CFS20]). *There exists a function $g : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that for every graph H of density at least d and any graph H' obtained by deleting a single vertex from H , we have $R(H) \leq g(d)R(H')$.*

¹An alternative way to phrase Theorem 1 is that there exists an absolute constant $C > 0$ such that for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $n \leq a \leq R(K_n)$, there exists a connected graph G on n vertices such that $a \leq R(G) \leq Ca$.

In fact, in [CFS20, Lemma 5.5] it is claimed the statement is true with $g(d) = c \log(1/d)/d$, for an absolute constant $c > 0$. However, such a function works for d bounded away from 1 only. Their proof can be trivially changed to obtain Lemma 5, which is all we need to show the following corollary. In fact, (i) was already noted in [CFS20].

Lemma 6. *There exist constants $c_1, c_2 > 1$ such that for any $n \geq 1$,*

- (i) $R(K_{n+1}) \leq c_1 R(K_n)$,
- (ii) $R(K_{n+1, n+1}) \leq c_2 R(K_{n, n})$.

We also need the Ramsey number of a path P_n with n edges, determined by Gerencsér and Gyárfás [GG67].

Lemma 7. *For every $n \geq 1$, $R(P_n) = \lfloor (3n + 1)/2 \rfloor$.*

We shall also use a lower bound on the Ramsey number of complete bipartite graphs, which follows from a standard probabilistic construction.

Lemma 8. *For $t \geq 1$, $R(K_{t,t}) \geq 2^{t/2}$.*

Theorem 1 will be a direct consequence of the following two results.

Lemma 9. *Suppose $1 \leq t \leq n/2$ and let $H_{n,t}$ be the graph formed by taking a copy of $K_{t,t}$ and attaching to one of its vertices a path on $n - 2t$ new vertices. Then*

$$R(H_{n,t}) \leq 3R(K_{t,t})/2 + 3n.$$

Proof. Let $H = H_{n,t}$ and let $N = \lfloor (3R(K_{t,t}) + 6n)/2 \rfloor$. Consider an arbitrary red-blue edge-colouring of K_N ; we shall show that it contains a monochromatic copy of H . For a contradiction, assume it does not. By Lemma 7, there exists a monochromatic path P' in K_N of length at least $R(K_{t,t}) + 2n - 1$, and we assume without loss of generality that P' is red. Let $P \subseteq P'$ be obtained after removing $n - 2t$ vertices at one extreme of the path P' . Thus P has at least $R(K_{t,t}) + 2n - (n - 2t) \geq R(K_{t,t}) + n$ vertices. Let $S = V(P)$.

If S contains a red copy of $K_{t,t}$, then together with P' we can find in K_N a red path of length at least $n - t$ joined to one of its vertices, a contradiction. Since $|S| \geq R(K_{t,t}) + n$, we can find a monochromatic copy of $K_{t,t}$ in S , which must be blue. In fact, we can greedily find vertex-disjoint blue copies of $K_{t,t}$ until fewer than $R(K_{t,t})$ vertices remain uncovered. Let K^1, \dots, K^s be the copies that we have found. Note that these copies together cover more than $|S| - R(K_{t,t}) \geq n$ vertices.

For all $1 \leq i \leq s$, let A_i, B_i be the two classes of K^i . Given $1 \leq i < s$, note that not all edges between B_i and A_{i+1} can be red, as that would yield a red monochromatic copy of $K_{t,t}$ in S . Therefore, there are blue edges e_1, \dots, e_{s-1} where each e_i has one endpoint $b_i \in B_i$ and other endpoint $a_{i+1} \in A_{i+1}$. Let $a_1 \in A_1$ be arbitrary. For all $1 \leq i < s$, take a blue path $P_i \subseteq K^i$ which spans $V(K^i)$ and has endpoints a_i and b_i . Thus, the concatenation $P_1 + e_1 + \dots + P_{s-1} + e_{s-1}$, together with K^s , forms a blue copy of H , a final contradiction. \square

Lemma 10. *Suppose $2 \leq t \leq n$ and let $J_{n,t}$ be the graph formed by taking a copy of K_t and attaching to one of its vertices a path on $n - t$ new vertices. Then*

$$R(J_{n,t}) \leq 3(R(K_t) + (t + 1)n)/2.$$

Proof. Let $J = J_{n,t}$ and let $N = \lfloor 3(R(K_t) + (t + 1)n)/2 \rfloor$. Consider an arbitrary red-blue edge-colouring of K_N ; we shall show that it contains a monochromatic copy of J . For a contradiction, assume it does not. By Lemma 7, there exists a monochromatic path P' in K_N of length at least $R(K_t) + (t + 1)n - 1$, and we assume without loss of

generality that P' is red. Let $P \subseteq P'$ be obtained after removing $n - t$ vertices at one extreme of the path P' . Thus P has at least $R(K_t) + (t + 1)n - (n - t) \geq R(K_t) + nt$ vertices. Let $S = V(P)$.

If S contains a red copy of K_t , then together with P' we can find in K_N a red path of length at least $n - t$ joined to one of its vertices, a contradiction. Since $|S| \geq R(K_t) + nt$, we can find a monochromatic copy of K_t in S , which must be blue. In fact, we can greedily find vertex-disjoint blue copies of K_t until fewer than $R(K_t)$ vertices remain uncovered. Let Q^1, \dots, Q^s be the copies that were found. These copies, together, cover at least $|S| - R(K_t) \geq nt$ vertices of S , and thus we have $s \geq n$.

Define a *clique-path* to be a sequence of vertex-disjoint blue cliques Q^1, \dots, Q^l such that for each $1 \leq i < l$ there is a blue edge e_i between Q^i and Q^{i+1} , and the edges e_i are vertex-disjoint for all $1 \leq i < l$.

Claim 11. *There is a set of at most $t - 1$ clique-paths that together cover all cliques Q^1, \dots, Q^s exactly once.*

Proof. Suppose otherwise and let P_1, \dots, P_{t-1} be $t - 1$ clique-paths which use pairwise-disjoint sets of cliques and together use the maximum possible number of cliques. For each $1 \leq i \leq t - 1$, let Q^i be an ‘‘end-clique’’ of each P_i . Let Q^t be any clique not covered by $\{P_1, \dots, P_{t-1}\}$, which exists by assumption. In each Q^1, \dots, Q^t , we select a vertex q_i which is not in any of the inter-clique edges of the clique-paths (here we use $t \geq 2$). Since S contains no red K_t , there must be a blue edge between some pair $q_i q_j$. But then we can obtain a new clique-path which contains Q^i, Q^j and the edge $q_i q_j$. Thus we have found a new family of $t - 1$ clique-paths covering one more clique, a contradiction. \square

Therefore, there is a clique-path which uses at least $s/(t - 1) \geq s/t$ cliques. In such a clique-path, we can easily find a blue clique K_t together with a blue path which together use at least $t \cdot (s/t) = s \geq n$ vertices, as required. \square

Now we are ready to prove the main result of this section.

Proof of Theorem 1. It is easily seen that Theorem 1 follows immediately from the following statement about ‘gaps’ in Ramsey numbers: there exists $C > 0$ such that for all $n, a \in \mathbb{N}$ and $n \leq a \leq R(K_n)$, there exists a connected n -vertex graph G with $a \leq R(G) \leq Ca$. From now on, we prove this latter statement.

By the form of the statement, we can assume that n is sufficiently large so that the inequalities that need it are true. Let c_1 and c_2 be the constants from Lemma 6 such that $R(K_t) \leq c_1 R(K_{t-1})$ and $R(K_{t,t}) \leq c_2 R(K_{t-1,t-1})$ for all $t \geq 2$, and let C be a sufficiently large constant.

We will split the proof into two cases, depending on how large a is. In fact, the two ranges we consider are not disjoint, but they are enough to cover all possibilities between n and $R(K_n)$.

Case 1: $n \leq a \leq 2^{n/8}$. Let t be the minimal number such that $R(K_{t,t}) > a$. We note that by the choice of t , we have $R(K_{t-1,t-1}) \leq a < R(K_{t,t})$. By Lemma 8, we have $2^{(t-1)/2} \leq a$ and thus $t \leq 2 \log_2 a + 1$. Since $a \leq 2^{n/8}$, we have that $t \leq n/4 + 1$. Since we assume n to be large, we can assume $2t \leq n$. Let $G_n = H_{n,t}$ be the graph as in Lemma 9. Since $K_{t,t} \subseteq G_n$, we have $R(G_n) \geq R(K_{t,t}) > a$. For the upper bound, using Lemmata 6 and 9 we deduce that

$$R(G_n) \leq \frac{3}{2}R(K_{t,t}) + 3n \leq \frac{3}{2}c_2 a + 3n \leq Ca.$$

Case 2: $n^2 \leq a \leq R(K_n)$. Take t minimal subject to $R(K_t) \geq a$. Clearly, such t always exists and is at most n . Thus we have $R(K_{t-1}) < a \leq R(K_t)$. Moreover, since

$R(K_r) \geq 2^{r/2}$ holds for all r , we know that $t \leq \min\{n, 2 \log_2 a + 1\}$. Let $G_n = J_{n,t}$ be as in Lemma 10 and note that, since $K_t \subseteq G_n$, we have $R(G_n) \geq R(K_t) > a$. For the upper bound, using Lemmata 10 and 6 we have

$$\begin{aligned} R(G_n) &\leq \frac{3}{2}(R(K_t) + (t+1)n) &\leq \frac{3}{2}(c_1 a + (t+1)n) \\ &&\leq Ca, \end{aligned}$$

where the last inequality follows from $(t+1)n \leq n(2 \log_2 a + 2) \leq 3n \log_2 a \leq 6n^2 \leq 6a$. \square

3. PROOF OF THEOREM 2

For k -graphs, the so-called ‘stepping-up lemma’ of Erdős, Hajnal, and Rado [EHR65] allows us to deduce a tower-type lower bound for the Ramsey number $R(K_n^{(k)})$ for every $k \geq 3$, namely

$$an^2 \leq \log_2^{(k-2)}(R(K_n^{(k)})), \quad (1)$$

where $a > 0$ is a constant depending only on k and $\log_2^{(i)}(\cdot)$ denotes the i th iterated base-2 logarithm (see [CFS13] for more explicit bounds of this form).

Proof of Theorem 2. Let $k \geq 5$. We find a function $g: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$, with $n \leq g(n) \leq R(K_n^{(k)})$ as follows. For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let $I_n = [\log_2 n, \log_2 R(K_n^{(k)})]$ be an interval in \mathbb{R} . Note that, since $k \geq 5$, inequality (1) implies that

$$\log_2 R(K_n^{(k)}) - \log_2 n \geq 2^{2^{an}} - \log_2 n.$$

Since the number of k -graphs on n vertices is at most 2^{n^k} , by averaging we find a sub-interval $I'_n \subseteq I_n$ which does not contain $\log_2 R(H)$ for any n -vertex k -graph H , and such that I'_n has length at least

$$\frac{2^{2^{an}} - \log_2 n}{2^{n^k} + 1} > 2n + 1,$$

where we used that n is sufficiently large. By passing to a sub-interval we may assume that $I'_n \cap \mathbb{N}$ has exactly $2n + 1$ elements. Let $m_n \in I'_n$ be the middle point of $I'_n \cap \mathbb{N}$. Then, for large n and every n -vertex k -graph H we have

$$\log_2 R(H) \leq m_n - n \quad \text{or} \quad \log_2 R(H) \geq m_n + n. \quad (2)$$

Let $g: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ be defined by $g(n) = 2^{m_n}$. Since $m_n \in I_n$ we have $n \leq g(n) \leq R(K_n^{(k)})$. Then, from (2) we deduce that for every n and every n -vertex k -graph H ,

$$R(H) \leq 2^{-n}g(n) \quad \text{or} \quad R(H) \geq 2^n g(n).$$

In particular, for every two positive constants $c, C > 0$ and for every sufficiently large n , we have $R(H) < cg(n)$ or $R(H) > Cg(n)$, as required.

Note that we could finish the proof here if we were only interested in gaps for hypergraph Ramsey numbers. However, if we insist on having a non-decreasing function, we may define $f: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ by setting $f(1) = g(1)$ and, for $n \geq 2$,

$$f(n) = \begin{cases} g(n) & \text{if } g(n) \geq f(n-1), \\ f(n-1) & \text{if } g(n) < f(n-1). \end{cases}$$

It is straightforward to check that f is non-decreasing and satisfies the desired conditions. \square

Notice that the proof of Theorem 2 relies on the fact that $\log_2 R(K_n^k) = \omega(2^{n^k})$ for every $k \geq 5$. Erdős, Hajnal, and Rado [EHR65] conjectured that (1) can be improved to $an \leq \log_2^{(k-1)}(R(K_n^k))$ for every $k \geq 3$, in which case our proof of Theorem 2 works for 4-uniform hypergraphs as well. The situation for 3-uniform hypergraphs is not clear, even if this conjecture were true.

4. PROOFS OF THEOREMS 3 AND 4

We shall use the following simple lemma. We remark that similar statements have been obtained before, e.g., by Lefmann [Lef87]. For any graph G , let $\chi(G)$ be its chromatic number.

Lemma 12. *For every graph G and connected $H \subseteq G$, we have*

$$R_3(G) \geq (\chi(H) - 1)(R_2(H) - 1) + 1.$$

Proof. Let $N = (\chi(H) - 1)(R_2(H) - 1)$. We construct a red-blue-green colouring of K_N as follows: partition $V(K_N)$ into $\chi(H) - 1$ sets $V_1, \dots, V_{\chi(H)-1}$ of size $R_2(H) - 1$ each. Inside each V_i use colours red and blue in such a way that the colouring does not contain a red-blue copy of H ; colour every other edge green.

This colouring does not contain a monochromatic copy of G . Indeed, a hypothetical such copy cannot be red or blue, as otherwise there must exist a red or blue copy of H . Since H is connected, such a copy of H must lie inside one of the sets V_i , but we have chosen the red-blue edges so that this does not happen. Also, there are no green copies of G_n , since the graph formed by the green edges is $(\chi(H) - 1)$ -partite but $\chi(G) \geq \chi(H)$. We conclude that $R_3(G) > N$. \square

Proof of Theorem 3. Given $n \geq 2$, let t be the least integer such that $n \leq R_2(K_t)$. Note that $t \geq 2$. By choice, we have $R_2(K_{t-1}) < n$ and, by Lemma 6, we have $R_2(K_t) \leq c_1 R_2(K_{t-1}) < c_1 n$. Let G_n be the graph obtained from K_t by adding $n - t$ isolated vertices, then $|V(G_n)| = n$ and $R_2(G_n) = \max\{n, R_2(K_t)\} = R_2(K_t) < c_1 n = O(n)$. On the other hand, since $n \leq R_2(K_t) \leq 4^t$, we know that $t \geq \frac{1}{2} \log_2 n$ and therefore by Lemma 12 we have $R_3(G_n) = \Omega(n \log n)$. \square

Proof of Theorem 4. Let t be the least integer so that $R_2(K_t) \geq n \log_2 n$ and let $G_n = J_{n,t}$. Applying Lemma 10, we obtain a constant $C > 0$ such that

$$R(G_n) \leq \frac{3}{2}(R(K_t) + (t+1)n) \leq Cn \log_2 n,$$

where we use the bound $R(K_t) \leq 4^t$ to deduce that $t = \Theta(\log_2(n))$. Since $\chi(G_n) = \Omega(\log n)$, then, by Lemma 12 and the definition of t , we have

$$R_3(G_n) > (\chi(G_n) - 1)(R_2(G_n) - 1) = \Omega(n \log^2 n),$$

as required. \square

5. CONCLUDING REMARKS

5.1. Gaps. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let us consider the sets

$$\mathcal{R}_n = \{R(G) : |V(G)| = n\},$$

$$\mathcal{R}_n^\circ = \{R(G) : G \text{ does not contain isolated vertices and } |V(G)| = n\}, \quad \text{and}$$

$$\mathcal{R}_n^c = \{R(G) : G \text{ is connected and } |V(G)| = n\}.$$

It is clear that $\mathcal{R}_n^c \subseteq \mathcal{R}_n^\circ \subseteq \mathcal{R}_n \subseteq [n, R(\overline{K}_n)]$. Observe that $n \in \mathcal{R}_n$ since $R(\overline{K}_n) = n$, where \overline{K}_n corresponds to an independent set on n vertices. Furthermore, consider a disjoint union of two stars $\Sigma_{a,b} = K_{1,a} \cup K_{1,b}$. A result due to Grossman [Gro79]

implies that $R(\Sigma_{a,a-i}) = 3a - 2i$ for $i \in \{0, 1, 2\}$. Thus, by adding $n - (2a - i + 2)$ extra isolated vertices to $\Sigma_{a,a-i}$ and letting the value of a vary from $\lfloor n/3 \rfloor$ to $\lfloor (n-2)/2 \rfloor$, we deduce that $[n, 3\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor - 3] \subseteq \mathcal{R}_n$ for large $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Other families of sparse graphs can also be used to show other inclusions of this kind.

As mentioned in the introduction, $R(G) \geq \lceil \frac{4}{3}n \rceil - 1$ holds for every connected graph G on n vertices, and this bound is tight. In particular, it implies that

$$\mathcal{R}_n^c \subseteq \left[\lceil \frac{4}{3}n \rceil - 1, R(K_n) \right].$$

In a similar fashion, Burr and Erdős [BE76] showed that $R(G) > n + \log n - O(\log \log n)$ holds for every $G \in \mathcal{R}_n^c$, which is almost tight as shown by Csákány and Komlós [CK99]. It would be interesting to get a better understanding of the structures of \mathcal{R}_n , \mathcal{R}_n^c , and \mathcal{R}_n^o .

Given a constant $c > 1$, say that a number $a \in [n, R(K_n)]$ is a c -gap for \mathcal{R}_n^c if $[a, ca] \cap \mathcal{R}_n^c = \emptyset$. As mentioned inside its proof, it is easy to see that Theorem 1 is equivalent to the existence of a constant $c \geq 1$ for which \mathcal{R}_n^c has no c -gaps for every sufficiently large n .

In this direction, a proper (but non-empty) subset of the authors of this paper believes that the answer to the following question should be affirmative.

Question 13. Does there exist an $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for every $n \geq n_0$

$$\mathcal{R}_n = [n, R(K_n)] \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{R}_n^c = \left[\lceil \frac{4}{3}n \rceil - 1, R(K_n) \right] ?$$

Observe that the first equality would imply that for every function $f: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ with $n \leq f(n) \leq R(K_n)$ there is a sequence of graphs $(G_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that $f(n) = R(G_n)$ for sufficiently large $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and an analogous statement would hold for connected graphs if the second identity was true. However, in order to show the first equality, one would need to show that $R(K_n - e) \in \{R(K_n) - 1, R(K_n)\}$ for sufficiently large $n \in \mathbb{N}$, which is likely to be a quite hard problem. A simpler question, which we still think is interesting is as follows:

Question 14. Given $c > 1$, it is true that there are no c -gaps a for \mathcal{R}_n^c with $a \geq 4n/3$, for all sufficiently large n ?

5.2. Chromatic number and connectivity. We observe that the proof of the first case of Theorem 1 can be modified by replacing the rôle of $K_{t,t}$ with a complete k -partite graph $K_{t,\dots,t}$. In this way, we may ensure that the graphs in the sequence $(G_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ have large chromatic number for sufficiently large n .

Theorem 15. *For every $k \geq 2$, there are positive constants c, C , and $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for every function $f: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$, with $n \leq f(n) \leq R(K_n)$, there is a sequence of connected graphs $(G_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ with $|V(G_n)| = n$ such that $cf(n) \leq R(G_n) \leq Cf(n)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Moreover, $\chi(G_n) \geq k$ for every $n \geq n_0$.*

It would be interesting to ensure other properties for the graphs in this sequence. In particular, we believe the graphs can also be taken to have large connectivity.

Conjecture 16. For every $k \geq 2$ and for every function $f: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ with $n \leq f(n) \leq R(K_n)$, there is a sequence of graphs $(G_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ with $|V(G_n)| = n$ such that $R(G_n) = \Theta(f(n))$ and G_n is k -connected for all n sufficiently large.

It is also natural to ask if Theorem 3 holds if we require, in addition, that the graphs G_n are connected.

Question 17. Is there a sequence $\{G_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of connected graphs, with $|V(G_n)| = n$, such that $R_2(G_n) = O(n)$, but $R_3(G_n) = \omega(n)$?

5.3. Hypergraphs. We finish by asking what happens with k -graphs in the cases not covered by Theorem 1 and Theorem 2, i.e. $k \in \{3, 4\}$. We phrase our question in terms of gaps.

Question 18. Given $k \in \{3, 4\}$ and arbitrary $C > 0$, does there exist $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and $n \leq a \leq R(K_n^{(k)})$ such that no k -graph H satisfies $a \leq R(H) \leq Ca$?

Acknowledgements. The authors thank Letícia Mattos and Louis DeBiasio for useful discussions and Pedro Araújo for unknowingly inspiring us. We also thank the referees for useful suggestions throughout the paper.

REFERENCES

- [BE76] S. A. Burr and P. Erdős. “Extremal Ramsey theory for graphs”. *Utilitas Math.* 9 (1976), 247–258. URL: https://www.renyi.hu/~p_erdos/1976-13.pdf.
- [Cam+23] M. Campos, S. Griffiths, R. Morris, and J. Sahasrabudhe. “An exponential improvement for diagonal Ramsey”. Preprint (2023). arXiv: 2303.09521 [math.CO]. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2303.09521>.
- [CDM20] J. Corsten, L. DeBiasio, and P. McKenney. “Density of monochromatic infinite subgraphs II”. Preprint (2020). DOI: [10.48550/ARXIV.2007.14277](https://doi.org/10.48550/ARXIV.2007.14277).
- [CFR17] D. Conlon, J. Fox, and V. Rödl. “Hedgehogs are not colour blind”. *J. Comb.* 8.3 (2017), 475–485. DOI: [10.4310/JOC.2017.v8.n3.a4](https://doi.org/10.4310/JOC.2017.v8.n3.a4).
- [CFS13] D. Conlon, J. Fox, and B. Sudakov. “An improved bound for the stepping-up lemma”. *Discrete Appl. Math.* 161.9 (2013), 1191–1196. DOI: [10.1016/j.dam.2010.10.013](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dam.2010.10.013).
- [CFS15] D. Conlon, J. Fox, and B. Sudakov. “Recent developments in graph Ramsey theory”. *Surveys in Combinatorics 2015*. Vol. 424. London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser. Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 2015, 49–118. URL: <https://www.cambridge.org/core/books/abs/surveys-in-combinatorics-2015/recent-developments-in-graph-ramsey-theory/82997361A922AF213D13AEFFB46AF519>.
- [CFS20] D. Conlon, J. Fox, and B. Sudakov. “Short proofs of some extremal results III”. *Random Structures Algorithms* 57.4 (2020), 958–982. DOI: [10.1002/rsa.20953](https://doi.org/10.1002/rsa.20953).
- [Chv+83] C. Chvátal, V. Rödl, E. Szemerédi, and W.T. Trotter. “The Ramsey number of a graph with bounded maximum degree”. *Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series B* 34.3 (1983), 239–243. DOI: [https://doi.org/10.1016/0095-8956\(83\)90037-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/0095-8956(83)90037-0).
- [CK99] R. Csákány and J. Komlós. “The smallest Ramsey numbers”. *Discrete Math.* 199.1-3 (1999), 193–199. DOI: [10.1016/S0012-365X\(98\)00300-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0012-365X(98)00300-8).
- [CS93] G. Chen and R. H. Schelp. “Graphs with linearly bounded Ramsey numbers”. *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B* 57.1 (1993), 138–149. DOI: [10.1006/jctb.1993.1012](https://doi.org/10.1006/jctb.1993.1012).
- [DeB] L. DeBiasio. “Graphs with linear Ramsey number for two colors, but super-linear Ramsey number for three colors?” MathOverflow. URL: <https://mathoverflow.net/q/385498>.
- [EHR65] P. Erdős, A. Hajnal, and R. Rado. “Partition relations for cardinal numbers”. *Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hungar.* 16 (1965), 93–196. DOI: [10.1007/BF01886396](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01886396).
- [Erd47] P. Erdős. “Some remarks on the theory of graphs”. *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* 53 (1947), 292–294. DOI: [10.1090/S0002-9904-1947-08785-1](https://doi.org/10.1090/S0002-9904-1947-08785-1).
- [ES35] P. Erdős and G. Szekeres. “A combinatorial problem in geometry”. *Compositio Math.* 2 (1935), 463–470. URL: http://www.numdam.org/item?id=CM_1935__2__463_0.
- [ES72] P. Erdős and A. Szemerédi. “On a Ramsey type theorem”. *Period. Math. Hungar.* 2 (1972), 295–299. DOI: [10.1007/BF02018669](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02018669).
- [GG67] L. Gerencsér and A. Gyárfás. “On Ramsey-type problems”. *Ann. Univ. Sci. Budapest. Eötvös Sect. Math.* 10 (1967), 167–170. URL: https://users.renyi.hu/~gyarfas/Cikkek/01_GerencserGyarfas_OnRamseyTypeProblems.pdf.
- [Gro79] J. W. Grossman. “The Ramsey numbers of the union of two stars”. *Utilitas Math.* 16 (1979), 271–279.
- [Lee17] C. Lee. “Ramsey numbers of degenerate graphs”. *Ann. of Math. (2)* 185.3 (2017), 791–829. DOI: [10.4007/annals.2017.185.3.2](https://doi.org/10.4007/annals.2017.185.3.2).
- [Lef87] H. Lefmann. “A note on Ramsey numbers”. *Studia Sci. Math. Hungar.* 22.1-4 (1987), 445–446. URL: <http://real-j.mtak.hu/5464/>.
- [Sud11] B. Sudakov. “A conjecture of Erdős on graph Ramsey numbers”. *Adv. Math.* 227.1 (2011), 601–609. DOI: [10.1016/j.aim.2011.02.004](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aim.2011.02.004).

[Wig22] Y. Wigderson. “Ramsey numbers upon vertex deletion”. Preprint (2022). DOI: [10.48550/ARXIV.2208.11181](https://doi.org/10.48550/ARXIV.2208.11181).

(M. Pavez-Signé) MATHEMATICS INSTITUTE, ZEEMAN BUILDING, UNIVERSITY OF WARWICK, COVENTRY, CV4 7AL, UNITED KINGDOM.

Email address: `matias.pavez-signe@warwick.ac.uk`

(S. Piga) SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF BIRMINGHAM, BIRMINGHAM, B15 2TT, UNITED KINGDOM.

Email address: `s.piga@bham.ac.uk`

(N. Sanhueza-Matamala) DEPARTAMENTO DE INGENIERÍA MATEMÁTICA, FACULTAD DE CIENCIAS FÍSICAS Y MATEMÁTICAS, UNIVERSIDAD DE CONCEPCIÓN, CHILE.

Email address: `nicolas@sanhueza.net`